## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| _ |   |                      |                      |                     |                  |
|---|---|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|   | APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE          | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|   | 10/811,846  | 03/30/2004           | Yuusuke Sato         | 251143US3RD         | 2329             |
| • | 22850 7590 03/06/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. |                      |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   | 1940 DUKE ST  | TREET                | DOVE, TRACY MAE      |                     |                  |
|   | ALEXANDRIA  | A, VA 22314          |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |   |                      | 1745                 |                     |                  |
|   |   | - Lugge              |                      |                     |                  |
|   | SHORTENED STATUTOR  | Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE | NOTIFICATION DATE    | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
|   | 31 D  | PAYS                 | 03/06/2007           | ELECTRONIC          |                  |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 31 DAYS from 03/06/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

|  |  | Applica  | ation No.  | Applicant(s)  |          |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|---|----------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/811   | ,846   | SATO ET AL.   |          |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examir   | ner  | Art Unit  |          |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tracy D  |  | 1745  |          |  |  |  |
| Period fo  | The MAILING DATE of this commun<br>or Reply  | ication appears on   | the cover sheet w  | ith the correspondence a  | ddress   |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exte<br>after<br>- If NC<br>- Failu<br>Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT | AILING DATE OF<br>of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no<br>nunication.<br>atutory period will apply and<br>will, by statute, cause the a | THIS COMMUNI event, however, may a d will expire SIX (6) MOR application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |          |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1)⊠  | Responsive to communication(s) file  | ed on <i>30 March 200</i>  | 04.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | •  | 2b)⊠ This action is  |  | •   |          |  |  |  |
| 3)□  | Since this application is in condition   | ters, prosecution as to th   | ne merits is   |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | closed in accordance with the practic  | ce under <i>Ex parte</i> (   | Quayle, 1935 C.E   | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |          |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 4)🖂  | Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the a  | pplication.  | ·  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a   | re withdrawn from  | consideration.   |   |          |  |  |  |
| 5)□  | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 6)□  | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |  | •   |          |  |  |  |
| 7)   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | •  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠  | Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction  | on and/or election r   | equirement.  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Applicati  | on Papers  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 9)[  | The specification is objected to by the  | e Examiner.  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 10)[   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  | a) accepted or   | b)☐ objected to  | by the Examiner.  |          |  |  |  |
|  | Applicant may not request that any object  | ction to the drawing(s   | s) be held in abeya  | nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |          |  |  |  |
|  | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 11)  | The oath or declaration is objected to   | by the Examiner.   | Note the attache   | d Office Action or form P   | TO-152.  |  |  |  |
| Priority ι   | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| _  | 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority  | documents have b   | een received.  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  | 2. Certified copies of the priority  | documents have b   | een received in A  | Application No  |          |  |  |  |
|  | 3. Copies of the certified copies  | of the priority docu   | ments have been  | received in this Nationa  | ıl Stage |  |  |  |
|  | application from the Internatio  |  | , , ,  |   |          |  |  |  |
| * 5  | See the attached detailed Office actio   | n for a list of the ce   | ertified copies not  | received.   |          |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| Attachmen  | t(s)   |  |  |   |          |  |  |  |
| 1) Notic   | e of References Cited (PTO-892)  |  |  | Summary (PTO-413)   |          |  |  |  |
|  | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   | TO-948)  |  | s)/Mail Date  nformal Patent Application  |          |  |  |  |
|  | r No(s)/Mail Date  |  | 6) Other:  |   |          |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/811,846

Art Unit: 1745

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-5 and 10-15, drawn to a fuel cell unit, classified in class 429, subclass
   34.
- II. Claim 6, drawn to a fuel cell unit, classified in class 429, subclass 25.
- III. Claim 7, drawn to a fuel cell unit, classified in class 429, subclass 17.
- IV. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a fuel cell unit, classified in class 429, subclass 17.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II, III or IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because neither Group II, III nor IV require a casing with a partition partitioning the interior of the casing. The subcombination has separate utility such as a fuel cell contained in a vehicle without a partitioned casing.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or

Art Unit: 1745

divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Inventions II and III and IV are directed to related fuel cell units. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are materially different in design. Group II requires a pump create a negative pressure on the fuel cell, which is not required by Group III or IV. Group III requires the fuel tank to comprise a porous body, which is not required by Group II or IV. Group IV requires the mixing tank to comprise a porous body and a cavity portion, which is not required by Group II or III. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Irvin McClelland on 2/28/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tracy Dove whose telephone number is 571-272-1285. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday (9:00-7:30).

Application/Control Number: 10/811,846 Page 5

Art Unit: 1745

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Pat Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

February 28, 2007

TRACY DOVE